

1 Kimberly R. Parks (Bar No. 032828)
2 Arizona Department of Water Resources
3 Legal Division
4 P.O. Box 36020
5 Phoenix, Arizona 85067
6 Telephone: 602-771-8472
7 Fax: 602-771-8687
8 krparks@azwater.gov

9 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**
10 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

11 IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
12 OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN
13 THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND
14 SOURCE

15 W-1 (Salt)
16 W-2 (Verde)
17 W-3 (Upper Gila)
18 W-4 (San Pedro)
19 (Consolidated)

20 Contested Case No. W1-106

21 **ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF**
22 **WATER RESOURCES' RESPONSE**
23 **TO QUESTIONS REGARDING**
24 **ADWR'S *DE MINIMIS* DOMESTIC**
25 **REPORT**

26 Special Master Susan Ward Harris

CONTESTED CASE NAME: *In re Subflow Technical Report, Verde River Watershed*

HSR INVOLVED: None

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: The Arizona Department of Water Resources provides its Response to questions regarding ADWR's technical report on a potential *de minimis* classification for domestic uses in the Verde River watershed as requested in the Order dated January 26, 2022.

NUMBER OF PAGES: Seven

DATE OF FILING: February 25, 2022

1 In the Order filed January 26, 2022 (“Order”), the Court requested that the Arizona
2 Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”) respond to questions raised by ADWR’s
3 December 3, 2021 technical report entitled “*De Minimis* Domestic Water Use in the Verde
4 River Watershed.” ADWR hereby responds as follows:

5
6 **1. Whether ADWR used an appropriate methodology and whether any**
7 **improvements can be made to the methodology to determine the amount of reliably**
8 **available streamflow in the Verde Valley watershed?**

9
10 ADWR’s calculations were an appropriate and conservative calculation of the
11 available streamflow in the Verde River watershed.¹ ADWR believes that given the
12 information available at this stage in the proceedings, there is sufficient reliable
13 information in its report for the Court to determine what, if any, *de minimis* classification
14 for domestic uses is appropriate.

15 A detailed water budget is required to quantify the natural flow of the Verde River
16 before any human uses occur. Estimating a water budget before a Hydrographic Survey
17 Report (HSR) has been completed introduces a significant number of assumptions, each
18 with potential error, including the quantity of water that is currently being used and how
19 much water is reintroduced into the river system as return flow. A water budget is unlikely
20 to show a smaller amount of surface flow than current gage data, as a water budget does
21 not reflect the impact of current uses. In lieu of preparing such a budget, ADWR chose a
22 more conservative method of arriving at available streamflow.

23
24 _____
25 ¹ Although the January 26, 2022 Order asked ADWR to address these questions with
26 regard to the “Verde Valley watershed,” ADWR believes this was a typographical error
and that the Court intended to ask ADWR to address these questions regarding the Verde
River watershed based on the context of the Order.

1 ADWR quantified the amount of reliably available streamflow for the Verde River
2 watershed using United States Geological Survey (USGS) gages. The Paulden gage is
3 located near the headwaters of the Verde River, whereas the Tangle Creek gage is located
4 near the termination of the Verde River watershed. While there are other gages above the
5 Paulden gage, they are located on tributaries rather than on the mainstem of the Verde
6 River. Meanwhile, gages further downstream from the Tangle Creek gage are affected
7 substantially by major imports and streams. These gages would not accurately capture all
8 the streamflow that is available in the upper portion of the watershed nor in the lower
9 portion of the watershed after most beneficial water uses have occurred.

10 The volume difference between the Paulden and Tangle Creek gages accounts for
11 depletions from domestic water use and depletions from all other existing beneficial water
12 uses occurring in the watershed. In other words, the final streamflow volume recorded at
13 the Tangle Creek gage includes the impact of all beneficial water uses happening in the
14 watershed until streamflow is measured at the gage.

15 ADWR added up the daily discharge at both gages to determine the yearly
16 discharge at each of the sites. The median annual discharge was determined for both
17 stream gages. ADWR then subtracted the Paulden gage median annual discharge from the
18 median annual discharge of the Tangle Creek gage. The difference between the two gages
19 is a conservative estimate of the available surface water, or the amount of reliably
20 available streamflow, in the Verde River watershed. ADWR believes that this is a
21 conservative way of estimating available streamflow, and one that appropriately utilizes
22 available data and overestimates the impact of domestic water use.

23
24
25
26

1 After reviewing Salt River Project's (SRP) comments and suggestion that flow be
2 calculated monthly,² ADWR calculated the median monthly discharge for June, since
3 SRP suggested June as a low flow month. The estimated median discharge for the month
4 of June is 4,293.2 acre-feet (AF). The monthly domestic demand ranges from 274.6 to
5 1,073.3 AF (3,294.7 acre-feet per annum (AFA) from Table 4 divided by 12 months and
6 12,879 AFA from Table 5 divided by 12 months). This range accounts for about 6.40% to
7 25%, respectively, of the available water for the month of June, resulting in a wide
8 variation of potential impact. Since water use may change month to month, higher
9 seasonal flows even out the low flow time periods. Using only seasonal data would
10 exclude essential streamflow information from the rest of the year. Therefore, ADWR
11 believes that the median annual discharge is still a reliable method to estimate available
12 water.

13 ADWR compared different methods to estimate domestic water usage in the Verde
14 River watershed. Across all methods, annual domestic water demand ranged from 3,294.7
15 AFA (Table 4, USGS 2015) to 12,879 AFA (Table 5, derived from Surface Water, GWSI,
16 and Wells55 databases). Annual domestic impact for these different methods ranged from
17 1.27% to 4.95% of the flow of the Verde River. After reviewing all available data from
18 the Department's databases, ADWR determined that there were likely significant gaps,
19 overlaps, and unknowns in that data.³

21 ² Salt River Project's Comments on Arizona Department of Water Resources' Technical
22 Report Re *De Minimis* Domestic Water Use in the Verde River Watershed filed January 7,
2022 at 5-6.

23 ³ ADWR is still analyzing SRP's analysis of the data from the Wells55 database.
24 However, assuming that SRP's analysis is correct, it would result in 21,023 AFA in
25 domestic use (21,023 wells, assuming 1 household per well and 1 AFA per household) or
26 12.4% of the estimated annual flow of the Verde. ADWR believes that this potential
impact is also an overestimation because it includes all wells within the watershed, not
just those pumping appropriable subflow, and may include other wells that either have not

1 ADWR then used Census data to calculate how many individuals live outside a
2 municipal service area boundary. This resulted in an estimated total domestic demand of
3 9,152 AFA. This number is likely an overestimation because ADWR assumed that every
4 person in the watershed was a self-supplied domestic water user and that all domestic
5 users were utilizing appropriable water or Verde River subflow. Not every individual
6 water use is self-supplied or using appropriable water within the subflow zone. However,
7 ADWR believes that this method is more accurate than calculating the number of
8 domestic users from the Department's databases because of the anticipated issues with the
9 data described above. ADWR believes that any improvements to this process require
10 additional data that is not currently available. Completing the HSR would allow ADWR to
11 create a more accurate water budget. However, ADWR believes that determining a *de*
12 *minimis* standard before the HSR is completed will lead to substantial improvements in
13 the HSR process.⁴

14
15 **2. What is the amount of appropriable water in the Verde Valley watershed**
16 **absent the cumulative impact of domestic use?**

17
18 As explained above, ADWR calculated the amount of available surface flow in the
19 Verde River watershed in acre-feet per annum (AFA). This amount was calculated by
20 subtracting upstream gage data from downstream gage data. This is very likely a

21
22
23 been constructed or are not providing water for domestic purposes within the watershed
due to an incorrect geographical location.

24 ⁴ ADWR prefers to determine *de minimis* uses for the entire watershed rather than on a
25 subwatershed by subwatershed basis because we believe that issuing separate reports and
having different standards is unnecessary and will cause additional confusion for the
26 public and expense for the state. See Minute Entry Order filed March 4, 2020 in Contested
Case No. W1-106, *In re Subflow Technical Report, Verde River Watershed* at 3.

1 conservative estimate of available water because it reflects the amount of water in the
2 river after all depletion from existing water uses has occurred.

3 The hydrologic impact of domestic water uses in the Verde River watershed was
4 evaluated by comparing the total estimated self-supplied domestic water demand
5 (estimated by using both the number of domestic water uses reported in ADWR's
6 databases and population data) to the total water available in the watershed. ADWR
7 evaluated different scenarios to estimate the impact that domestic water users have on the
8 Verde River system, discussed in Section 5 of our report. The estimated domestic demand
9 was calculated as a range of 3,294.7 AFA (Table 4, derived from USGS 2015) to 12,879
10 AFA (Table 5, derived from Surface Water, GWSI, and Wells55 databases). Annual
11 domestic impact for these different methods ranged from 1.27% to 4.95% of the estimated
12 available surface flow of the Verde River. Therefore, the elimination of all domestic uses
13 would increase the flow of water in the river by approximately 3,294.7 to 12,879 AFA.

14 ADWR is unable to determine the amount of appropriable water, or final water
15 budget, in the Verde River watershed without first completing the HSR since the impact
16 of other beneficial water uses on available surface flow has not been investigated. The
17 impact of these other uses would be investigated during preparation of the HSR.

18
19 **RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED** this 25th day of February, 2022.

20
21 ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER
22 RESOURCES

23 
24 _____
25 Kimberly R. Parks, Deputy Counsel

26 **ORIGINAL** of the foregoing sent by
first-class mail on February 25, 2022, to:

1 Clerk of the Maricopa Superior Court
2 Attn: Water Case
3 601 W. Jackson Street
4 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

5 **COPY** of the foregoing sent by
6 first-class mail on February 25, 2022, to:

7 Special Master Susan Ward Harris
8 Maricopa County Superior Court
9 Central Court Building
10 201 West Jefferson Street, Suite 3A
11 Phoenix, AZ 85003-2205

12 **COPIES** of the foregoing sent by
13 first-class mail on February 25, 2022 to all
14 parties on the court-approved mailing list for
15 Contested Case No. W1-106.

16 
17 _____
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26