

Upper San Pedro Water District Organizing Board
October 20, 2008
Cochise County Foothills Complex

I. CALL TO ORDER: Meeting was called to Order at 6:30 P.M. by Chairman Rutherford did the Call of Board to Order with Call of Roll.

II. CALL OF ROLL:

Mary Ann Black
Rick Coffman
James Herrewig
John Ladd (Absent)
Stephen Pauken
Holly Richter
Carl Robie
Susan Shuford
Mike Boardman

ABSENT: JOHN LADD

OTHERS PRESENT:

Gene Fenstermacher
Britt Hanson
Tricia Gerrodette
Peggy Pauken

III. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES:

September 8, 2008, Work
Session and October 6, 2008, Work Session

Secretary Pauken moved to accept the minutes of the work session of September 8, 2008. Seconded by Mr. Herrewig. Two points of clarification by Vice President Richter adding a word and correction of spelling. Minutes corrected and amended (attached hereto and made a part hereof).

VOTE: Unanimous in favor after corrections. Minutes of September 8, 2008, were accepted.

Mr. Robie moved to accept the minutes of the work session of October 20, 2008. Seconded by Mr. Herrewig. One point of clarification from Vice President Richter regarding making a change to say that "certain parts of the information cannot be changed."

Minutes corrected and amended (attached hereto and made a part hereof).

VOTE: Unanimous in favor after corrections. Minutes of October 20, 2008, were accepted.

IV. Continued Discussion of ADWR Scope of Work with Focus on Development and Comprehensive Plan with Measureable Objectives.

Chairman Rutherford asked about the possibility of acceptance of the final product with the corrected dates for the Work Schedule with the understanding that it was a living document.

Carr was asked to review the date changes and other additions and clarifications that he made since the Work Session on October 6, 2008. Carr stated that he added under Task 2 – Problem Identification more specifically from a general explanation of the importance of the San Pedro River flows and the ESA requirements to name the requirements of Fort Huachuca and ESA and how that factors in to the importance of the River. Within Task III the San Pedro Partnership was separated out from the other NGOs as requested. There was specificity added to separate out what can be done and what cannot be changed as Robie addressed at the Work Session. Under Task III two items were added with regard to Accretion from the Organizing Board to the permanent Board. Carr stated that staff members are already working on certain sections and he stated that the Board would have product to review in advance of the first November Work Session.

Discussion was started at this Meeting (as was previously done at the Work Session) and Carr spoke specifically to the following: clarification of the audience, suggestion of how the Board wants to approach the public workshops in February and who will assist the Board in this process.

Vice President Richter made a motion to accept the Plan as set forth as a living document. Boardman seconded and the vote was unanimous.

Vice President Richter and Mr. Herrewig stated they had a meeting set up in the coming week to talk with Marie Hanson regarding the process. They will report back her input and/or possibly have her speak to the Board directly. In addition, Carla Jenson and Judy Anderson were suggested as possible contacts for assistance with the process.

Boardman and Richter indicated the need for consensus building and possibly the addition of a step for special interest input by stakeholders and others.

V. AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT OF BILLS PRESENTED

Chairman Rutherford said we an invoice submitted for purchase of equipment, CDs and cases made by Shuford for the Board in the amount of \$120.44, which needs to be reimbursed. Shuford is to obtain the required forms from Finance (beginning with contact with Katie Howard) and the same will be submitted and signed by Treasurer Coffman once completed. Black made a Motion to approve and it was seconded by Pauken.

VOTE: Unanimous in favor. Payment of the bill for \$120.44 was approved.

VI. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Tricia Gerrodette commented about conversations had with Marie Hanson as follows: Many government entities are in the habit of doing what Marie (Hanson) referred to as Decide, Announce and Defend. So, if you are going that route, then that needs to be understood. Or if you are going to accept and listen to the public and deal with their input that is a different route.

VII. AGENDA ITEMS TO BE ADDRESSED

- Confirmation of next meeting dates and time to be added to the Agenda.
- Approval of Minutes for the November 10, 2008, Meeting as follows:
September 15 and October 20, 2008

VIII. FUTURE MEETING DATE AND LOCATION:

Chairman Rutherford confirmed the next meetings would be as follows:

Work Session: November 10, 2008, at 6:30 P.M. at the Cochise County Offices, Bisbee;

Meeting: November 17, 2008, at 6:30 P.M. at the Cochise County Foothills Complex, Sierra Vista;

Meeting: December 15, 2008, at 6:30 P.M. at the Cochise County Foothills Complex, Sierra Vista.

IX. ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business Chairman Rutherford adjourned the work session meeting at 7:45 P.M.

Upper San Pedro Water Districting Organizing Board
October 20, 2008 - 6:30 P.M.
Meeting
Cochise County Foothills Complex – Sierra Vista, Arizona

Narrative of Meeting

Time Start: 6:30 P.M.

Rutherford: Call of Board to Order with Call of Roll

Mary Ann Black	Present
Rick Coffman	Present
James Herrewig	Present
John Ladd	
Stephen Pauken	Present
Holly Richter	Present
Carl Robie	Present
Mike Rutherford	Present
Susan Shuford	Present
Mike Boardman	Present

ABSENT: John Ladd

Rutherford: That means we have a quorum so we will stay here for awhile.
Laughter.

Minutes of September 8, and I believe they are in your packet.

Pauken: I will move for acceptance of the Minutes of September 8, 2008

Herrewig: Second.

Rutherford: Motion by Steve Pauken. Second by Herrewig. Discussion. All in favor. Holly.

Richter: I have one question on Page 2 and it may be that I am not reading it completely correctly, but in the third paragraph there is a sentence I think that is missing a phrase or something. It's kind of in the lower third of that third paragraph. Vice President Richter asked if the problem statement does not address other than natural changes. I am thinking there is something in there that used to be there.

Rutherford: So, what do you remember that it is supposed to say?

Richter: Boy, you know this was a long conversation.other than natural changes. I don't know, but to simplify this I guess one of the things that I might have asked was "Vice Chair Richter asked if the problem statement addresses natural changes," but it says "other than." I can't

imagine what we were talking about. Addresses only. I mean you guys remember the discussion as well as I do, we were talking about climate and drought v. human impact

Boardman: making reference specifically to the problem statement. Mumbled.

Herrewig: the problem statement addresses other than natural problems. Mumbled

Richter: asked if the problem statement should address factors, there needs to be some kind of word in there, other than natural changes. How about that? At least that makes some sense.

Rutherford: Ok tell us how you want us to read?

Richter: I think Jim got it.

Herrewig: "Vice President Richter asked if the problem statement should address factors other than natural changes."

Richter: I guess. To be quite honest, I can't remember making a statement like that, but at least that is a full sentence.

On the next page not Umbrel, needs wet sand so just take the r out of there. Umbel

Herrewig: This is just astonishing version.

Richter: So we are talking the first paragraph about a third from the bottom.

Rutherford: Okay so anything else? Any further discussion? All in favor of approving the amended Minutes.

Affirmations heard

No objections.

Rutherford: Do we have the October 6, Minutes in its entirety. Has anybody had a chance to read it. Good. I will entertain a Motion.

Robie: Made a Motion.

Rutherford: So moved.

Discussion?

Richter: A really quickie. The beginning of the second page, the sentence that says Discussion was had regarding making clear within the Plan, this is the part that I am concerned about, "that certain parts of the information is true and cannot be changed." That suggests that there was information that was false. I am thinking maybe that what we really mean is that some parts of the information is "certain" and cannot be changed as opposed to true. We didn't have non-true information, I don't think.

Pauken: So what if it just said that certain parts of the information cannot be changed.

Richter: That would be fine too. Absolutely. I just don't think we want to talk about only parts being true.

Herrewig: So what we are adopting are the first pages of the attachment.

Rutherford: These are actually the Minutes of the Meeting and the other is the Narrative. We won't have to approve the Narrative I don't believe. Further discussion. All in favor of approving the Amended Minutes?
Affirmations.

Rutherford: Unanimous.

Pauken: I dare somebody to do an open records request.
Laughter.

Herrewig: On those Minutes.

Coffman: It is helpful to have all of that because there is a lot of detail. Sometimes the act of summarizing is to a certain extent the act of editorializing also. So it is useful to have all of the detail. I don't want to have to read it, but it is useful.
Not that you didn't do a good job Jim.

Shuford: Jim, it's hard to fill your shoes.
Mumbled talk.

Richter: Anyway, Thanks Jim for your period of service.

Pauken: We are going to pay you double for those last two sets of minutes.

Rutherford: Item IV – Continued Discussion of ADWR Scope of Work with Focus on Development and Comprehensive Plan with Measureable Objectives.
Anybody care to accept the final product on the corrected dates and so on with the Work Schedule. If we need to make that a motion or accept the living document and keep working on it.

Pauken: Before we go taking any specific action, I think we may want to have Mr. Carr explain what he has supplied us for this week's meeting unless he doesn't want to.

Rutherford: Mr. Carr, you are on.

Carr: He said I don't have to.

Pauken: I just said unless you don't want to.

Carr: Well, a couple of little things that I changed were on the second page were Task 2 – Problem Identification. We just had down general explanation of the importance of the San Pedro River flows and the ESA requirements. I changed that to Fort Huachuca and ESA requirements. We will expand that actual section to name the requirements that Fort Huachuca has with the ESA requirements and how that factors in to the importance of the River.

I did separate out on the third page Task III the San Pedro Partnership from the other NGOs as requested.

I think that was it for all of the big changes that I ended up changing. I took some notes too in making sure that we separate out the what can be done and what cannot be changed which Carl brought up. That is just as we are doing the whole package. We need to make sure that there is clarity between what the Board can do and influence v. what it can't do and the situation that it has to operate under with regards to the current laws and current situation that we have out there. I put in also under Task III two items with regard to Accretion from the Organizing Board to the permanent Board so that we can detail that out in both a separate section from that item as well as a separate record for you. ___ mumbled.

That was it.

Black: That was the first meeting I have missed by the way.

If there is an extra copy of anything that was presented at last Monday night's meeting.

(Extra copies provided by Carr to other members as well.)

Carr: OK I just want to report that I have my staff members working on different sections. We are hoping to have, we have a short time line trying to turn it around here this month but I am hoping to get it started and get those things handled and have that here for the next work group's meeting. My intention is to get it out to you a week ahead of time. So, I am hoping that we get the first glimpse at the draft sections by the end of the month so that Tom and I can take a look at them, and then have three (3) or four (4) days to get it back out to you to see the first product.

Rutherford: So we will see the first product for the first November meeting?

Carr: That is my intent.

Then, at that point there will be a draft so what I think what we are trying to do is get the information to you all so you can see what it will look like. Then if there are additions or if you want us to include more or less information to certain sections then we can do that. But my intent her is that we need to get this back to you as soon as we can.

Richter: So everything with the Yellow under the November 10 bar is.....

Carr: Yes.

It is a little daunting, but we should have a good shot at most of it. I know that sections under Hydrology are being worked on right now, and that projections of usage are being worked on right now. We are crossing phone messages with the consultant.

We are looking at some of the expectations. We are trying to take some very complex, large reports that have been done for more than a decade and trying to reduce it down to less than the Reader's Digest version. We are trying to bring it in as to lay the story out, here are the key facts and information in order to understand how things work here. We are trying to put it in that kind of form because we believe that it needs to be in something that is very consumable and this will be the first step before we actually get to or make it part of the public information documents in more of a handout. That is what you should be expecting this next time. Quick paragraphs explaining the information that is laid out and that we are handing out.

Richter: Did we give you clear guidance on who is the audience. I remember that coming up before and that was something that we all thought we needed to kind of. . . .

Carr: Yes, let me turn that back on to you, because I think that is something that you all need to have a shared understanding about. The other thing that was brought up on this discussion and I want to turn you on to but it is not too early to start talking about how you want to set up a work guide for going out for going out for your public meetings. There is a point here where I have to draw the line from where my technical advice to you is so and so and that you all need to take over and do the public workshops in order to set up for the election. So, we need to kind of decide where our job stops because once it gets into the political election that is a piece that _____. Certainly we are standing by to assist you in the preparation of information but there probably needs to be a discussion about the kind of expertise you want to assist you.

First, discuss how you want to approach the public workshops in February.

2nd: Who do you want to assist you.

I am going to turn it back over to the chair.

Rutherford: I was just wondering you got 10 November here and I am just thinking it may should be November 10.

No I understand.

Any further discussion on this schedule and is everybody comfortable with this schedule? Knowing that we are going to manipulate it and it's going to move around? But is this what we want to use for our immediate guidance.

Richter: Ambitious. Ambitious is good.

Rutherford: Is it necessary to make a motion and accept it as far as the Plan?

Pauken: I think if we are ready to do that then, it is entirely appropriate.

Rutherford: Then, I will make the motion to accept this plan schedule as our immediate guidance.

Richter: And call it kind of a working schedule?

Rutherford: Yes, it is going to be a living schedule. We will make changes as we go.

Richter: Then, I would make that motion.

Boardman: Second.

Rutherford: Second by Mike Boardman.

Discussion. All in favor.

Unanimous ayes heard.

Any opposition?

(None heard).

Pauken: Mr. Carr – great job.

Rutherford: Yes, we appreciated it.

Pauken: Well, you just set the bar.

_____ : It looks good and it's easy to understand.

Rutherford: This will really rest now until our November meeting when we get information from your.....

Carr: The first sections that we can get.

Rutherford: OK.

Item V. Augmentation Issues as they pertain to the District Comprehensive Plan. How did that get on there.

Pauken: Yeah, that is what I was going to say.

Richter: Excuse me. Just to interrupt. Tom asked us two questions pertaining to the last Agenda Item that we didn't fully address.

Rutherford: As far as the audience.

Richter: And the outreach.

Herrewig: I guess we should speak to the second part first. This week Holly and I are going to have some discussion and information with someone that has years of experience and who has dealt with this kind of thing. This is so we can get some thoughts together on how to do this.

Richter: She is brilliant on the process.

Herrewig: Next meeting, hopefully we will be able to give some of those thoughts.

Robie: It would be my observation that maybe if we were to contract or facilitate this professional expertise we would look at February and say no way at this point. I am guessing that even with Marie's expertise that we are going to be talking about professional assistance in this process. February is really ambitious when you are talking with a contractor who is not even going to meet with us until the holidays are over.
That's just a caution.

Rutherford: I am in total agreement. I think that this is very optimistic and getting to that point by that point, even with the rest of everything we have got to look at. I know that Tom is capable of whooping and spurring in getting us to that point. But at the same time we have to understand that we might have to take a little more time on it.

Herrewig: Carl is right. Our idea and the point of our meeting is that we would talk with her about our plan. Her first thing might be that she may say is get yourself a consultant. _____ your best bet is to...

Richter: ... to get educated. And I think also gleaning what processes have been put in place for any previous City and the County as well.

Robie: I know that the City has used the same contractor for outreach . . . for public outreach in the exchange of information between the Partnership and the public has done the same thing. That just reinforces my. . . .

Black: When are you going to meet with Marie.

Richter: Thursday.

It would be good to know what the Board would like for us to bring back. Would you like for us to just begin outlining the process, a general process. What would be the most information, the best information that we could get from Marie to help the Board?

General, time required.

Coffman: I think it would be time and what do we need to go into these with, what kinds of materials would be useful.

Coffman and Richter (unison): Who does what?

Herrewig: What do we want to come out of these meetings?

Richter: Well that yes.

Herrewig: The first question that came to mind. People will ask are you really listening to us or are you just holding meetings. They want to know.

Robie: The last time I was involved in this kind of effort, a whole big chunk of the meetings was providing background. Ok you know what it looks like, that's your background. But this is a lot more complex than a description of the topography.

Richter: The trick to that is how do you really hone in on what is important. There is so much out there. How do you hone in on what is important for people to know and then what are the most important questions to ask them.

Depending on how our discussion goes, it might be helpful for Marie to then, come back and talk to the Board more one on one. If we can twist her arm.

Carla Jenson might be another one that might have some background on this on the County.

Richter: Carla

Richter: I know Judy was the lead. We can bother Judy in retirement and see if she will talk to me. That's like the restaurant in Bisbee.

Rutherford: We have got time. If there are other people that we can come up with that we might want to see if this person is interested.

Richter: I don't think we are expecting Marie to do it. All we are expecting is for Marie to help us write an RFP. Which would be a big step forward.

Rutherford: OK.

Richter: If we could define what it is we want to ask for. That would be huge.

Pauken: So, what are you planning to do Jim? Are you just planning to talk to her or have her come into a meeting.

Herrewig: Meeting with her.

Pauken: Oh and my guess is she will probably tell us what we need to do.

Richter: That would be perfect.

Pauken: Then we, you could fill in the particulars of the specific direction.

Richter: Yes. But time is of the essence. It is a lot of planning that goes into doing this right.

Herrewig: Need a facilitator for our meetings.

Laughter.

Rutherford: Remember this meeting is being recorded so we need to speak up and I have already missed a couple of things. That is why I am asking certain questions here. So, if we can keep Jim from mumbling too much and if Tom can speak up.

Shuford: And so I can get it correctly.

Rutherford: Tom, what was the other point?

Carr: Well, one of the questions that we actually have to answer and direct our report to is Who is the audience?

It is good for me to know exactly what your expectations are. I will just put on the table for you toto kick around that I think the audience is first of all – you all – (The Board). Secondly, it is to give you the information to be able to give it to explain it to the public. So, the public is second. If you have another expectation, I kind of need to know what that is.

Pauken: My thought has always been that the audience is the voters.

Black: That is just what I was going to say.

Rutherford: Ultimately that's where it is with the voters. Which would be about 20% if they are registered maybe.

Pauken: We just don't know what 20.

Rutherford: If we knew who they were going to be, we could talk to them individually.

Laughter.

Robie: Any advice you can get from your facilitator, public outreach person or media firm or whatever it is we end up describing this to and would be how to take this information that we have been digesting and package it for the audience that we would describe for the public. That is a key challenge to get that information out. We need a professional to help us package that.

Richter: So specifically then, would it be the voters within the Sierra Vista subwatershed as opposed to the County?

Yes from several members.

Boardman: I would suggest that maybe we are missing a step here and identification of the state. The audience would be anyone asked to vote. As close to a consensus as we can get on the state approval. If it is a contentious disputative issue then, we probably will not get past the voters. If there are a lot of various dissenters, then I don't think we are there. If we are going to win this battle before it ever goes to election by achieving consensus or near consensus, if that is possible, between among key stakeholders. That is different than the voters. Fort Huachuca, the environmental community, all of those people are in a position to influence the press or stand up and protest in a way that is going to make it a contentious elective process. So, I first would say is that it is a parallel process, not sequential and we have to identify all of the right stakeholders and make sure that we are doing everything we can to achieve consensus. So when the time comes we can have hands going up saying all right instead of looking like the U.S. Congress.

Richter: For example.

Robie: So I restate my concern that February is somewhat ambitious.

Richter: So you make an excellent point. I think in talking about these parallel processes it is the content that has to be agreed upon with all of the stakeholders. It is the language that has to be suited to the audience.

Boardman: It's definition of the problem, the construction of the Board, its objectives. I am looking at this chart for all of this to happen by the end of January which is rewriting the U.S. Constitution by the end of January. I think we have to look at and identify the groups and interests at least to try and reach consensus.

Rutherford: Understand that when Tom puts this together, he is trying to reach the November 2009 election. We will probably be fortunate if we get November 10.

Carr: I also constrained myself to what we had talked about 3 or 4 months ago. We are willing to do our part to get you as close to those deadlines as is possible. I don't want to say that even though we think it is ambitious, we will do our best to help you all and have the information. Speaking of stakeholders, the one I had a thought on were the hospitality industry, hotels and Bed and Breakfasts. Those are some of the stakeholders that have a stake in this whole process in regard to protecting natural resources that are a draw for a lot of folks. The Chamber of Commerce was another one I had in my mind. The real estate industry as well as the developers are two different groups, at least in our area of the State are two different focus groups. You mentioned Fort Huachuca. If there are any others. _____ a lot of our rural interests. The reason I am listing these off, if there is anybody else you can think of or add that would be good. When we are doing the report, we can kind of play to that . . .

Richter: BLM. He already said that.

Robie: The Upper San Pedro Partnership would catch a whole bunch of those.

Richter: How are we going to outreach to those different interests. It is not necessarily going to be in community outreach sessions where it is going to be geographically driven, so would it be helpful to have say a different group invited to different meetings to kind of address different perspectives one at a time and just hear their concerns and views.

Rutherford: I think we need to get closer to a finished product . . .

Richter: So they can react.

Robie: Who knows maybe giving back to them . . . We could bring them in prematurely and they could say these guys don't know what the hell they are talking about so we aren't interested.

Boardman: Once we have something concrete then, I think we need to challenge the groups and identify the stakeholders and then, whether we say it or not, it's a challenge, it really is in terms of getting it on the table and asking for their support. Perhaps we adjust and this whole process is one of consensus building, but I do not think we can leave it to just process we need to ask what is it going to take to get your hand up when the time comes to say "aye" in front of the public. We need to work that group by group or stakeholder by stakeholder. I don't think we can let anyone off the hook and we gotta put the hook in them. It's kind of like fish. Some of them aren't gonna want to. But we are going to have to otherwise this will turn into a contentious process before election.

Richter: Do we want to do that before we do these general outreach workshops because otherwise folks that have issues will show up and it won't be necessarily..... but that's not until February.

Boardman: focus on them, but we have to have something to put in front of them in order to start working. But if we get all these, we call them special interest or public stakeholders first, then they are going to see a broad array of hands saying this is a good idea.

Richter: I agree.

Boardman: To do it in reverse is just asking for . . .

Rutherford: I think we just need to ignore the dates on here, the target dates. We need to move ahead through this as the schedule is set out and when we get to a certain point then, we can know that we can get other people involved and go back to the February issues whenever it is.

Richter: Should we add a step in here though that reminds us that we need the special interests input before the workshops.

Black: I think that is a good idea.

Richter: I think we better have a reminder:

Robie: Special interests, stakeholders.
Laughter.

Richter: Stakeholder input sessions.

Carr: There is six months set up to do the kind of public process. I think that is a pretty good long time if you are organized so even though you might not get started in February, we have laid out quite a length of time in order to try and do the support building and consensus.

Richter: It might also be helpful and we are kind of a micro chasm of a lot of those interests around the table is if we kind of each of us take on the responsibility to go out and make sure that our sector is really well represented in the discussion.

Robie: I think we are going to have a lot of discussion when the Reader's Digest version gets here anyway. mumbled

Rutherford: I can call the meeting to order and call the roll call and then from there I have to start learning.

Mike I appreciate the point you brought up and I think we have to deal with our stakeholders before we take it out to the public.

Tom anything else?

Fenstermacher: I am comparing my notes from the last time around under Task IV – other contributions. I had a note that you were going to add on fee for services.

Carr: Thank you for pointing that out. I did have some notes on that and I was going to change that.

Rutherford: Thanks Gene.

Richter: The only thing that relates to IV would be the letter. Did that get sent out?

Pauken: No, Carl and I are going to work on it. Mostly Carl.

Rutherford: Like I said we can skip over Item V.

Pauken: I think we can tonight.

Rutherford: Submission of invoices. Invoices totaling \$120.44 for equipment, recording equipment and so on. Entertain a motion to present this for payment and reimbursement.

Boardman: Discussion. Just since I am the junior member, where is the money coming from? Who is paying the bill?

Carr: Oh you guys have \$250,000.00
Laughter.

Pauken: We didn't want to tell you Mike.

Rutherford: We were going to divide up whatever is left. Yes, we do have money.

Black: Motion made.

Pauken: Second.

Rutherford: Mary Ann made the motion and Steve seconded. Any further discussion. Anybody need to know specifically what it was and how much it costs. \$120.00 invested and apparently that is a fine little item there. Susan is happy with it. She doesn't miss anything.

Pauken: It brought you those 20,000 pages.

Shuford: Sorry folks.

Pauken: The only thing, this is more of a statement. We haven't paid a bill yet.

Coffman: How do we do this?

Pauken: Rick you are the Treasurer.

Coffman: I know.

Pauken: What you may want to do is ask our Administrative Assistant to get that information from the Finance office and get the proper forms, because you will have to sign something. We will have the proper documentation that both bills have been approved.

Rutherford: Now, is the County paying the checks.

Pauken: Yes.

Rutherford: So, we don't have to worry about our own checks or anything like that.

Pauken: Mr. Hanson got that all covered in our IGA.

Rutherford: It seems like I remember that.
Any further discussions. All in favor?

Unison: AYE.

Rutherford: Any objections?
None heard.

Shuford: To Coffman: I will provide you a copy of the receipts.

Rutherford: Call to the public.

Gerrodette: I just have a comment Something that Marie Hanson said when we went through other conversations. Many government entities are in the habit of doing what Marie referred to as Decide, Announce and Defend. So, if you are going that route, then that needs to be understood. Or if you are going to accept and listen to the public and deal with their input that is a different route.

Rutherford: OK. Anyone else.
Comments about bad days.

Rutherford: Future meeting dates and locations.

Pauken: In accordance with our normal schedule and November does not present any obstacles that I know of we would have a work session on November 10 in Bisbee and a regular meeting on November 17 at the Foothills Complex. I know that is after Election Day Mary Ann.

Richter: I am out of town on the 10th. So, that might be a really peaceful night's meeting

Pauken: We will miss ya.

10th is in Bisbee and 17th in Sierra Vista.

As far as the Agenda Continue with Item IV.

I don't know if there is anything else that needs to be on the Agenda that night sir, other than that one item.

Rutherford: No being a work session that will be about it.

Coffman: Will we be getting a report back from Holly and Jim. Meeting with Marie.

Pauken: At a work session remember we cannot take action so you might want to make sure that if you need action you will need to wait until a week later.

Rutherford: What would be the meeting dates in December.

Pauken: Normal meeting dates would be the 8th and 15th.

Rutherford: Could we move that a week. I leave on November 30 and return on December 13.

Pauken: You don't have to have 2 meetings in December.

Richter: Even though we are going to have all of this done by February.

Pauken: With what Mike just told us there are 5 Mondays in December and 4 of them are bad.

Rutherford: How is January looking.

Coffman: Why not do the one regular meeting of December 15 at Sierra Vista Foothills Complex.

Rutherford: I would hate to miss a meeting.

Robie: Permanent reservation here in this complex.

Rutherford: Moved to adjourn.

Black: Seconded.

CONCLUDED: 7:45 P.M.

Meeting Adjourned by CHAIRMAN RUTHERFORD.