APPENDIX I - Timeline of Arizona Water Management History

1863 **Arizona Territory is established**
Arizona is declared a U.S. territory by President Lincoln on February 24, making it separate from the New Mexico Territory.

1864 **Howell Code**
The first Arizona Territorial Legislature adopts the Howell Code, which establishes the doctrine of prior appropriation for surface water – “First in Time, First in Right.”

1877 **Desert Land Act**
Passed by Congress on March 3 to encourage and promote the economic development of the Western states by allowing individuals to apply for a desert-land entry to reclaim, irrigate and cultivate arid and semi-arid public lands. Lands granted through the Act do not convey any water rights, as the Act provides that water rights were to be acquired through state law.

1888 **Clough v. Wing**
The Arizona Territorial Supreme Court issues a decision recognizing the doctrine of prior appropriation as the means of allocating surface waters of the Territory, and stating that beneficial use is the limit of a water right.

1902 **National Reclamation Act**
This Act, signed by President Theodore Roosevelt, recognizes that a key component to Western growth and development is constructing a system of irrigation works for the storage, diversion and development of water. The Act provides funding for irrigation projects in the Western states and results in the creation of the U.S. Reclamation Service (later the Bureau of Reclamation). The Act provides that “the right of the use of water acquired under the provision of this Act shall be appurtenant to the land irrigated, and beneficial use shall be the basis, the measure, and the limit of the right.”

1903 **Salt River Valley Water User’s Association in Incorporated**
Salt River Project, based in Phoenix, was established as the nation’s first multipurpose reclamation project authorized under the National Reclamation Act. Today, SRP is the nation’s third-largest public power utility and one of Arizona’s largest water suppliers.

1904 **Howard v. Perrin**
The Arizona Territorial Supreme Court ruling in this case (upheld in 1906 by the U.S. Supreme Court) recognizes a definite distinction, in character and ownership, between appropriable surface water and percolating groundwater. The court holds that percolating groundwater is the property of the overlying landowner and not subject to appropriation as surface water. The court further holds that subterranean streams flowing in natural channels between well-defined banks are subject to appropriation.

1906 **Gould v. Maricopa Canal Company**
The Arizona Territorial Supreme Court holds that the vested right to the use of water for irrigation is not with the canal company but with the users who put the water to beneficial use on land they own or possess. The court further holds that ownership of the means of diversion is not essential to perfect the right of appropriation.
1908  *Winters v. United States*
The United States Supreme Court holds that an Indian tribe’s water rights are established when the reservation is created, regardless of whether the tribe actually uses water on the reservation at that time. The Court holds that Congress, by creating the reservation, impliedly reserved all the waters of the river necessary for the purposes for which the reservation was created.

1910  **Arizona Constitution is adopted**
The Arizona Constitution is adopted by delegates to the Constitutional Convention. It becomes effective in 1912 following ratification by voters of the State and approval by Congress and President Taft. Article XVII, § 1 states: “The common law doctrine of riparian water rights shall not obtain or be of any force or effect in the State.” Article XVII, § 2 states: “All existing rights to the use of any of the waters in the State for all useful or beneficial purposes are hereby recognized and confirmed.”

1911  **Theodore Roosevelt Dam is completed**
This structure is the first multi-purpose project built by the Bureau of Reclamation. The dam is located 76 miles northeast of Phoenix at the confluence of the Salt River and Tonto Creek where it is operated and maintained by the Salt River Project.

1912  **Arizona Statehood**
Arizona is accepted for statehood by President Taft and becomes the 48th state on February 14, 1912.

1918  *McKenzie v. Moore*
The Arizona Supreme Court holds that water from a spring that is not the source of a watercourse is not appropriable, but instead belongs to the owner of the land on which the spring is located, who may use its entire flow.

1919  **Public Water Code is adopted**
Enacted by the legislature on June 12, the Public Water Code establishes administrative procedures for obtaining a right to use appropriable water, including a permitting system. These procedures replace the prior practice of either merely putting the water to beneficial use or posting a notice at the point of diversion, recording a copy of the notice with the County Recorder, and then putting the water to beneficial use.

1922  **Colorado River Compact**
The Seven Basin States negotiate an interstate compact dividing the Colorado River Basin into an Upper and Lower River Basin and apportioning 7.5 MAF of Colorado River water per year to each basin. Arizona refuses to ratify the Compact (but signs it in 1944) because of concerns over how its tributary waters from the Salt and Gila Rivers will be counted in the apportionment. Article VII, inserted at the insistence of Herbert Hoover, the Colorado River Commission’s federal chairman, states “Nothing in this compact shall be construed as affecting the obligations of the United States of America to Indian Tribes.”

1926  *Pima Farms Company v. Proctor*
The Arizona Supreme Court holds that a junior appropriator of water from an underground stream flowing within defined channels may be enjoined from lowering the water levels in the senior appropriator’s wells because under the doctrine of prior appropriation, a junior appropriator may not render ineffective the prior appropriator’s means of diversion.
1928  **Boulder Canyon Project Act**
Passed by Congress on December 21, 1928, this Act authorizes construction of the Hoover Dam on the condition that the Colorado River Compact is ratified. The Act provides a mechanism for approval of the Colorado River Compact without Arizona’s approval and authorized the apportionment of the Lower Basin’s 7.5 million acre-feet (MAF) among the states of California (4.4 MAF), Arizona (2.8 MAF) and Nevada (0.3 MAF). The Act also designates the Secretary of the Interior as the sole contracting authority for Colorado River water use in the Lower Basin.

1931  **Maricopa Co. Municipal Water Conservation District v. Southwest Cotton Co.**
The Arizona Supreme Court holds that water seeping through a streambed or from lands under or immediately adjacent to a stream (referred to as “subflow”) is part of the surface stream and is therefore appropriable. The test of whether subsurface water is appropriable is whether drawing off of the subsurface water tends to diminish directly and appreciably the flow of the surface stream (“direct and appreciable test.”)

1935  **Completion of Hoover Dam**
On September 30, 1935, President Franklin D. Roosevelt dedicates Hoover Dam on the Colorado River. The dam stores water for use by the Lower Division states, controls flooding and generates hydroelectricity. The reservoir created by the dam is Lake Mead.

1938  **First Groundwater Study Group**
Governor Stanford appoints a group to study groundwater in response to growing concerns over increased groundwater pumping. The efforts of this group lead to the legislature appropriating monies to the U.S. Geological Survey to study and report on groundwater conditions in the state.

1944  **Mexican Water Treaty is signed**
The United States and Mexico sign a treaty providing for an annual allocation of 1.5 MAF of Colorado River water to Mexico. The Treaty further provides for an increase in that volume, up to a total of 1.7 MAF, if a surplus exists, and for a reduction in that volume “in the event of extraordinary drought or serious accident to the irrigation system in the United States ....”

**Arizona approves the Colorado River Compact**
Governor Osborn announces a policy shift in Arizona’s position on matters relating to the Colorado River. As a result, Arizona approves the Colorado River Compact in hopes of getting approval for a reclamation project to deliver Colorado River water to central and southern Arizona (Central Arizona Project) and because of concerns over the recently-signed Mexican Water Treaty.

**Arizona Colorado River Contract**
Arizona contracts with the secretary of the Interior for delivery of 2.8 MAF of Colorado River water annually.

1945  **Arizona’s first Groundwater Code is adopted**
Holding Arizona to its claim that construction of the Central Arizona Project would reduce groundwater use instead of allowing for more groundwater use by agricultural users, the Bureau of Reclamation warns that the Central Arizona Project will not be approved without restrictions on groundwater use. In response, the legislature enacts a Groundwater Code, but the Code only requires the registration of wells throughout the State.

1948  **Critical Groundwater Code is adopted**
Again, the federal government warns that the funding for the CAP will not be approved without a more meaningful Groundwater Code. The legislature responds by enacting the 1948 Code, which prohibits the drilling of new irrigation wells in ten designated Critical Groundwater Areas. However, the Code does nothing to regulate groundwater withdrawals from existing irrigation wells in those areas, thereby allowing groundwater pumping to continue at historic levels.

**Upper Colorado River Basin Compact**
The Upper Colorado River Basin States enter into an interstate compact apportioning the waters of the Upper Basin of the Colorado River between Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming. Arizona is included because Chinle Wash drains into the River above Lee Ferry. Arizona is apportioned 50,000 acre-feet per year of Upper Basin Colorado River water.

**Arizona Interstate Stream Commission**
The legislature establishes the Arizona Interstate Stream Commission to defend Arizona’s rights to Colorado River water and to advance the authorization and construction of the Central Arizona Project.

1951 **Arizona’s Second Groundwater Study Commission is formed**
In response to criticism that the 1948 Groundwater Code allows groundwater pumping to continue at historic levels within Critical Groundwater Areas, the second Groundwater Study Commission is formed to draft a new groundwater bill. The legislature fails to pass any of the Commission’s recommendations and the Commission is ultimately abolished.

1952 **Bristol v. Cheatham I**
The Arizona Supreme Court holds that percolating groundwater is not owned by the owner of the overlying land but instead is subject to prior appropriation. This ruling reverses nearly 50 years of common law that had stated that percolating groundwater was not subject to prior appropriation.

1953 **Bristol v. Cheatham II**
The Arizona Supreme Court reverses its decision in *Bristol v. Cheatham I* (that groundwater is subject to the doctrine of prior appropriation) and instead adopts the American rule of reasonable use pertaining to groundwater. Under this rule, a landowner may withdraw groundwater for a reasonable and beneficial use on the land from which it is taken without liability for damages to surrounding landowners, but the withdrawal of groundwater for use away from the overlying land is subject to payment of damages to injured landowners.

1955 **Southwest Engineering Co. v. Ernst**
The Arizona Supreme Court upholds the provisions in the 1948 Groundwater Code restricting the drilling of new irrigation wells within Critical Groundwater Areas. The court rules that certain areas of the state may be managed differently, and that the additional restrictions placed on agricultural groundwater users by the 1948 Code are not in and of themselves unconstitutional.

1963 **Arizona v. California**
The United States Supreme Court upholds Congress’ apportionment of the Lower Basin’s share of mainstream waters of the Colorado River in the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928, with California receiving 4.4 MAF, Arizona 2.8 MAF and Nevada 0.3 MAF. In a major victory for Arizona, the Court holds that the waters apportioned to each state by the Act include only waters of the mainstream of the Colorado River, leaving to each state its own tributaries. The Court also holds that the Act gives the Secretary of the Interior broad discretion to determine how much water each state should receive during times of shortage, with some limitations. Finally, the Court holds that several Indian reservations near the Colorado River have reserved rights to water from the river in an amount sufficient to allow the irrigation...
of all practicably irrigable acreage on the reservations and that other federal establishments, such as National Recreation Areas and National Forests, also have federal reserved water rights.

1966 **Glen Canyon Dam is completed**  
Construction of Glen Canyon Dam on the Colorado River north of Page, Arizona is completed. The purpose of the dam is to regulate the flow of Colorado River water from the Upper Basin to the Lower Basin and to produce hydroelectricity. The reservoir created by the dam is Lake Powell.

1968 **Colorado River Basin Project Act**  
Passed by Congress on September 30, 1968, this Act authorizes the construction of the Central Arizona Project. The Act contains a provision that safeguards California’s 4.4 MAF entitlement, stating that in times of shortage this full amount will be delivered before any water is provided for the CAP. The stated legislative purpose of the Act calls for “furnishing irrigation water and municipal water supplies to the water-deficient areas of Arizona and western New Mexico ...”

1969 **Jarvis v. State Land Department I**  
The Arizona Supreme Court affirms the superior court’s issuance of an injunction prohibiting the City of Tucson from transporting groundwater to the City from wells in a Critical Groundwater Area outside the City. The court notes that the American rule of reasonable use provides that a person may not convey groundwater off the land if it will cause damage to other lands and further notes that this is a rule of property. The court finds that transporting groundwater away from a Critical Groundwater Area would necessarily cause damage to lands within the area and that an injunction is appropriate because damages would not adequately compensate the injured landowners.

**National Environmental Policy Act**  
The purposes of this Act are to declare a national policy which will encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between man and his environment; to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere and stimulate the health and welfare of man; to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the Nation; and to establish a Council on Environmental Quality.

1970 **Jarvis v. State Land Department II**  
Relying on a surface water statute that gives preference to domestic and municipal uses over agricultural uses, the Arizona Supreme Court states that it will modify the injunction issued in *Jarvis v. State Land Department I* to allow the City of Tucson to acquire cultivated lands within the Critical Groundwater Area outside the City, retire the lands from irrigation and transport to the City for municipal use an amount of groundwater equal to the “annual historical maximum use” on the lands. The court later holds that “annual historical maximum use” means the average of the annual maximum amount of groundwater consumptively used on the land for irrigation purposes.

1973 **Construction of the CAP Canal begins**  
Designed to bring about 1.5 MAF of Colorado River water per year to Pima, Pinal and Maricopa counties. The CAP canal now carries water from Lake Havasu near Parker to the southern boundary of the San Xavier Indian Reservation southwest of Tucson. It is a 336-mile long system of aqueducts, tunnels, pumping plants and pipelines and is the largest single source of renewable water supplies in central Arizona.
Endangered Species Act
The purpose of the ESA is to protect and recover imperiled species and the ecosystems upon which they depend. It is administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the Commerce Department’s National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The FWS has primary responsibility for terrestrial and freshwater organisms, while the responsibilities of NMFS are mainly marine wildlife such as whales and anadromons fish such as salmon. Under the ESA, species may be listed as either endangered or threatened. “Endangered” means a species is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. “Threatened” means a species is likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future. All species of plants and animals, except pest insects, are eligible for listing as endangered or threatened. For the purposes of the ESA, Congress defined species to include subspecies, varieties, and, for vertebrates, distinct population segments.

1974 Water Rights Registration Act
The Arizona legislature requires persons using, or claiming the right to use, surface water before June 12, 1919 to file a claim with the state. The Act provides that failure to file by a specified date will result in a waiver and relinquishment of any right, title or interest in the water. This Act triggers several water users throughout Arizona to request a determination of water rights in the Gila River and Little Colorado River watersheds. These actions eventually are combined into the Gila River Adjudication in the Maricopa County Superior Court and the Little Colorado River Adjudication in the Apache County Superior Court. The Act is later amended to require persons using, or claiming the right to use, surface water before March 7, 1995 to file a claim with the State.

1976 Farmer’s Investment Company (FICO) v. Bettwy
The Arizona Supreme Court enjoins a mining company and the City of Tucson from transporting groundwater away from lands within a Critical Groundwater Area for use on lands outside the Critical Groundwater Area but within the same groundwater basin. The court holds that under the reasonable use doctrine, water may not be pumped from one parcel for use on another parcel if other lands will suffer injury or damage as a result, even though the two parcels overlie a common source of supply. The injunction is never enforced, however, as agricultural, mining and municipal interests soon begin negotiations on a legislative solution to groundwater transportation issues.

1977 Amendments to 1948 Groundwater Code
As a result of negotiations between agricultural, mining and municipal interests following the FICO decision, the legislature amends the 1948 Groundwater Code to allow all existing groundwater transportations to continue and to allow new or increased transportations under certain conditions. In most cases, groundwater transportation is subject to payment of damages to injured landowners, and injury is conclusively presumed if groundwater is transported way from a Critical Groundwater Area. Cities, towns, private water companies and irrigation districts are allowed to transport groundwater within their service areas without payment of damages. A 25-member Groundwater Study Commission is established and charged with developing a new Groundwater Code to address groundwater transportation and reduce groundwater overdraft occurring in parts of the state.

Stockpond Water Rights Registration Act
The legislature enacts legislation requiring an owner of a stockpond with a capacity of 15 acre-feet or less, that is used solely for livestock or wildlife, and that was constructed after June 12, 1919 and before August 27, 1977 to file a claim in order to obtain a valid water right with a priority date as of the date of construction. Failure to file a timely claim results in a priority date as of the date of the filing.
Federal Budget Cuts
President Carter announces that the Central Arizona Project is among several Federal projects whose funding will be cut, but later removes the CAP from this “hit list”.

1979  **Groundwater Study Commission releases its Draft Report of Tentative Recommendations**
Secretary of the Interior Cecil Andrus warns that the Central Arizona Project will not be funded unless the State passes a Groundwater Code.

1980  **Groundwater Management Act**
Passed by the Arizona legislature on June 11, 1980 and signed into law by Governor Babbitt the next day, this Act implements the final recommendations of the Groundwater Study Commission. The Act establishes the Arizona Department of Water Resources to administer the provisions of the Act.

Secretary of the Interior Cecil Andrus informs Governor Babbitt that Arizona’s enactment of the 1980 Groundwater Management Act will allow the Central Arizona Project to be funded.

**Town of Chino Valley v. City of Prescott**
The Arizona Supreme Court upholds the provisions of the 1980 Groundwater Management Act allowing groundwater to be transported within the sub-basin of an Active Management Area without payment of damages to injured landowners. The court rejects the plaintiffs’ argument that landowners have a property right to the groundwater under their land that cannot be diminished without due process of law and without just compensation. The court states that “there is no right of ownership of groundwater in Arizona prior to its capture and withdrawal from the common supply and … the right of the owner of the overlying land is simply to the usufruct of the water.” The court further holds that the legislature may enact laws regulating groundwater use under its police powers.

1982  **Cherry v. Steiner**
The United States District Court holds that the provisions of the 1980 Groundwater Management Act restricting groundwater withdrawals from lands within Active Management Areas do not take property without due process of law. The court relies on the Arizona Supreme Court’s holding in *Town of Chino Valley v. City of Prescott* that landowners have no property interest in the groundwater beneath their land prior to its capture and withdrawal. The court also upholds the legislature’s designation of certain areas of the state as Active Management Areas.

1984  **First Management Plans are adopted**
The first of the five Management Plans called for by the Groundwater Management Act are adopted by ADWR for the Phoenix, Pinal, Prescott and Tucson AMAs.

1985  **The Central Arizona Project** begins deliveries of water to central Arizona.

1986  **The Lakes Bill**
The legislature enacts the Lakes Bill, which prohibits the construction of new bodies of water used primarily for landscape, scenic or recreational purposes and larger than 12,320 square feet within AMAs. There are several exceptions to the prohibition, including bodies of water filled entirely with effluent and bodies of water located in recreational facilities open to the public and owned or operated by a governmental entity.
Underground Water Storage Act
The legislature enacts laws allowing non-groundwater supplies to be stored in underground aquifers and recovered later through recovery wells. The water recovered may be used in the same manner in which it was permissible to use the water before it was stored.

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality is created
Established by the Arizona Environmental Quality Act in 1986 to administer all of Arizona’s environmental protection programs, including a comprehensive groundwater protection program and the state’s Water Quality Assurance Revolving Fund, to identify, assess and remediate contaminated sites with the potential to impact public health or groundwater. ADEQ supports a wide range of environmental programs that protect the quality of our air, water and land in Arizona. Also administers state environmental protection laws and a number of federally-delegated programs, such as the Clean Air Act program, the Safe Drinking Water Act program, and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program.

1987 SRPMIC Water Rights Settlement Act
Settles the claims of the Salt River-Pima Maricopa Indian Community to groundwater, Salt River water and reclaimed water.

1989 Second Management Plans are adopted
ADWR adopts the second of the five Management Plans for the Phoenix, Pinal, Prescott and Tucson AMAs as called for by the Groundwater Management Act.

Arizona Public Service Company v. Long
The Arizona Supreme Court holds that effluent (treated municipal wastewater) is neither groundwater nor surface water and therefore is not subject to the groundwater or surface water laws. The court further holds that although effluent is neither groundwater nor surface water, it is water, and therefore must be put to a beneficial use. Additionally, the court notes that although the legislature has not regulated the use of effluent, it may do so in the future.

1990 Indirect Groundwater Storage
The legislature amends the Underground Water Storage laws to allow an entity to receive groundwater storage credits for delivering reclaimed water, CAP water or Colorado River water to a groundwater user who eliminates or reduces its use of groundwater.

1991 Groundwater Transportation Act
The legislature amends the groundwater transportation laws to prohibit the transportation of groundwater from areas outside of Active Management Areas to Active Management Areas, with several exceptions. The exceptions allow certain entities to transport groundwater from the McMullen Valley groundwater basin to the Phoenix AMA, from the Big Chino sub-basin of the Verde River groundwater basin to the Prescott AMA, and from the Butler Valley groundwater basin and the Harquahala INA to any initial AMA.

1992 Water Exchange Legislation
The Arizona legislature enacts legislation authorizing water exchanges. A person participating in a water exchange must have the right to use the water given in the exchange and may use the water received in the exchange only in the same manner in which the person has the right to use the water given in the exchange, but the person need not have a right to use the water received in the exchange. Water exchanges involving surface water, other than Colorado River water require a permit from ADWR. Most other water exchanges require the filing of a notice with the ADWR.
1992 **Grand Canyon Protection Act**  
Passed by Congress, this Act requires the Secretary of Interior to operate Glen Canyon Dam in accordance with the additional criteria and operating plans *in such a manner as to protect, mitigate adverse impacts to, and improve the values for which Grand Canyon National Park and Glen Canyon National Recreation Area were established, including, but not limited to natural and cultural resources and visitor use*, subject to applicable provisions of the Law of the River.

1993 **Restrictions on transporting groundwater outside of Active Management Areas**  
The legislature amends the groundwater transportation laws to prohibit most new transportations of groundwater between groundwater basins outside of Active Management Areas.

**Central Arizona Groundwater Replenishment District**  
The legislature amends the laws governing the CAP to provide that the District shall serve as a groundwater replenishment entity for member lands and member service areas within the District (Maricopa, Pinal and Pima Counties). The CAGRD assists its members in obtaining determinations of assured water supply by agreeing to replenish groundwater used by a member in excess of the amount determined by ADWR to be consistent with the AMA’s management goal.

**Fort McDowell Indian Community Water Settlement**  
Settled the claims of the Fort McDowell Indian Community’s claims to water supplies in the Verde River and groundwater and effluent.

1994 **Underground Water Storage, Savings and Replenishment Act**  
The legislature repeals previous enactments and consolidates all underground water storage programs into a unified program.

**Water Protection Fund**  
The legislature establishes the Water Protection Fund. The fund is administered by a commission which issues grants from the fund to water users for implementing projects to protect Arizona’s rivers and streams, including the use of excess CAP water for riparian enhancement.

1995 **Assured and Adequate Water Supply Rules**  
ADWR adopts rules establishing criteria for demonstrating an assured or adequate water supply become effective. The rules require that an applicant for a certificate or designation of assured water supply in an AMA demonstrate that the use will be served primarily with renewable water supplies.

**Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement Agreement**  
Settles claims of the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe to groundwater and surface water from Granite Creek and reclaimed water and allows for the transfer of the Tribe’s and the City of Prescott’s CAP water to the City of Scottsdale.

**Santa Cruz Active Management Area is established**  
The Santa Cruz AMA is established from a portion of the Tucson Active Management Area to address unique water management goals.

1996 **Arizona Water Banking Authority**  
The AWBA is established as a mechanism for Arizona to fully utilize its CAP allotment. The AWBA may annually purchase all or part of the state’s unused CAP allotment and store it underground for times of...
shortage. The legislation also allows the AWBA to store Colorado River water on behalf of agencies in Nevada and California.

1999 Third Management Plans are adopted
ADWR adopts the third of the five Management Plans for the Phoenix, Pinal, Prescott, Santa Cruz and Tucson AMAs as called for by the Groundwater Management Act.

Off stream Storage of Colorado River Water and Development and Release of Intentionally Created Unused Apportionment in the Lower Division States
The Secretary of the Interior adopts regulations providing for, which enables interstate water banking in the Lower Colorado River Basin.

Adjudication Court Decision: In re the General Adjudication of all Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source (Gila III)
The Arizona Supreme Court holds that federal reserved water rights for federal reservations (Indian and non-Indian) include not only surface water but also groundwater to the extent that surface water supplies are inadequate to accomplish the purpose for which the reservation was created.

San Carlos Apache Tribe Water Rights Settlement Agreement
Sets the claims of the San Carlos Apache Tribe to the Salt River side of their reservation and includes groundwater, water from the Salt, Black, Gila and Sand Pedro Rivers, CAP water (that can be leased) and reclaimed water. The water right claims of the Tribe to the Gila River side of the reservation will be the subject of separate negotiations or litigation.

2000 Governor’s Water Management Commission
Governor Jane Dee Hull announces the formation of the Governor’s Water Management Commission.

Adjudication Court Decision: In re the General Adjudication of all Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source (Gila IV)
The Arizona Supreme Court affirms the trial court’s determination that the subflow zone within the San Pedro River watershed is the saturated floodplain Holocene alluvium. There is a rebuttable presumption that wells located within the subflow zone and wells whose cones of depression extend into the subflow zone are pumping appropriable subflow, and such wells are therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the adjudication court. ADWR will determine the extent of the saturated floodplain Holocene alluvium and conduct cone of depression tests.

2001 Colorado River Interim Surplus Guidelines
The United States Bureau of Reclamation adopts guidelines defining the conditions for declaration and implementation of surplus conditions in the Lower Basin of the Colorado River.

Agreement for Interstate Water Banking
The AWBA, the Southern Nevada Water Authority and the Colorado River Commission of Nevada reach an agreement allowing the storage of Colorado River water in Arizona for future uses in Nevada.

Adjudication Court Decision: In re the General Adjudication of all Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source (Gila V)
The Arizona Supreme Court rejects the “practically irrigable acreage” standard as the exclusive standard for quantifying federal reserved water rights for Indian reservations. Instead, the court holds that an
Indian reservation should be allocated the quantity of water necessary to achieve its purpose as a permanent homeland for the Indian tribe, which may include water for multiple present and future uses.

2003 Governor’s Drought Task Force
Arizona adopts its first Operational Drought Preparedness Plan and comprehensive Statewide Water Conservation Plan. As a result of this effort legislation was adopted to require all Community Water Systems to annually report their water use and supply a Water Supply plan every five years to ADWR.

Zuni Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement Agreement
Sets claims of the Zuni Tribe to surface water from the Little Colorado River and provides to the tribe additional groundwater and reclaimed water.

2004 Arizona Water Settlement Act
Through this Act, Congress approves an agreement between the United States and the State of Arizona for CAP repayment obligations. The Act also settles the water rights claims of the Gila River Indian Community and the claims of the Tohono O’odham Nation for its San Xavier reservation near Tucson, and reallocates 67,300 acre-feet of Non-Indian Agricultural priority CAP water to the Secretary of the Interior for use in future Indian water rights settlements in Arizona.

2005 Community Water System planning and reporting requirements
The Arizona legislature enacts legislation requiring community water systems (public water systems that provide water service to at least fifteen service connections or twenty-five year-round residents) to prepare a water supply plan, a drought preparedness plan and a water conservation plan every five years and submit the plans to ADWR. The legislation also requires community water systems to submit annual water use reports to ADWR.

2006 Phelps Dodge v. Arizona Department of Water Resources
The Arizona Court of Appeals holds that ADWR has authority to issue permits to appropriate water for instream flows, even though such an appropriation does not involve physical diversion of water.

Creation of Statewide Water Advisory Group
In 2006, ADWR in conjunction with rural legislative leadership and the Governor’s office began a series of discussions with a group of representatives from state, county, city, tribal, private non-governmental organizations about the most immediate water resources problems facing the rural areas. The Advisory Group found an imbalance between growth and water supply planning in some rural areas of the state – varying considerably from county to county. After eight months of discussions and 14 public meetings throughout the state, ADWR introduced three bills for legislative action resulting from these discussions. A fourth bill was introduced by State Representative Jennifer Burns (R – Dist. 25). All of the bills passed into law in FY2007.

1) The first bill allows counties and cities to adopt requirements for demonstration of a 100-year adequate water supply for new development.
2) The second bill provide for a water resources revolving fund and grants to plan and build water projects.
3) The third bill prohibits the drilling of a well if it causes poor quality water to be drawn into another well.
4) The fourth bill provides for the formation of the Upper San Pedro Water District that is charged with conserving, reusing, recharging and augmenting the water supplies of the district to protect the flows of the San Pedro River and assist in meeting the water supply needs of Fort Huachuca and the surrounding communities (later defeated by vote within Cochise County)
2007  **Mandatory Water Adequacy**  
The Arizona legislature enacts legislation authorizing counties and cities to adopt an ordinance requiring new subdivisions outside of AMAs to demonstrate a 100-year adequate water supply before obtaining plat approval or receiving a public report from the Arizona Department of Real Estate.

**Seven-Basin States Agreement Concerning Colorado River Management and Operations**  
The Seven Colorado River Basin States join together to sign an agreement regarding Colorado River management for an interim period (until 2026). As part of the Seven States’ Agreement, the States jointly submit a proposal for Colorado River operations, which is ultimately adopted by the Secretary of the Interior.

**Record of Decision on Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and the Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead**  
The Bureau of Reclamation adopts guidelines that provide for coordinated management of Lake Mead and Lake Powell. Releases from Lake Powell are determined by conditions in both reservoirs. The Interim Guidelines incorporate, and in some cases modify, the Interim Surplus Guidelines, define shortage conditions in the Lower Basin, allow for the creation of Intentionally Created Surplus (ICS) through conservation and augmentation projects, and provide for delivery of ICS subject to forbearance by the Lower Basin Contractors. The Interim Guidelines will remain in effect until 2026.

2009  **Governor’s Blue Ribbon Panel on Water Sustainability**  
In 2009, as part of Governor Jan Brewer’s commitment to collaboration on water resource issues, the Blue Ribbon Panel on Water Sustainability was tasked with initiating a statewide effort aimed at improving the long term sustainability of Arizona’s water supplies and to provide advice to ADWR, ADEQ, and the ACC on the technical, legal, and policy aspects of promoting recycling of wastewater, gray water, industrial process water, and storm water.

2010  **Minute 318**  
Agreement between the United States and Mexico as part of the continuing implementation of the 1944 Mexican Water Treaty related to the use of the Colorado River. Under Minute 318, Mexico will be able to temporarily defer delivery of a portion of its annual Colorado River water allotment while repairs are made to the irrigation system in the Mexicali Valley of Baja California as a result of an April 4, 2010 earthquake.

2011  **Water Resources Development Commission Report**  
The WRDC, a study Commission authorized by the Arizona legislature in 2010, releases it report analyzing the projected water demands for the following 25, 50 and 100 years in comparison to the projected available water supplies in Arizona. The WRDC Report finds that there is a possible imbalance of approximately 3.2 MAF in Arizona in the year 2110.

2012  **Arizona Celebrates its Centennial**

**Minute 319**  
Agreement between the United States and Mexico as part of the continuing implementation of the 1944 Mexican Water Treaty related to the use of the Colorado River. The Minute is a five-year cooperative agreement between Mexico and the United States (on behalf of the seven Colorado River Basin States - including Arizona) that provides a framework for: long-term planning and conservation activities;
protection of water levels in Lake Mead to reduce the potential for water shortage; and potential development of additional sources of water from joint United States-Mexico water development projects.

**Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study Released**

The US Bureau of Reclamation, in cooperation with the seven Colorado River Basin States issues a comprehensive assessment of water supplies and demands in the Colorado River basin through the year 2060. The Basin Study concludes that there is a median imbalance of approximately 3.2 MAF between existing supplies and projected demands for 2060.

**2013 Bureau of Reclamation Announces Reductions in Water Releases from Glen Canyon Dam**

In August of 2013, the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation released its monthly Operation Plan for Colorado River System Reservoirs 24-Month Study (Study), which projects that releases from Lake Powell into Lake Mead in water year 2014 (October 2014 through September 2015) will be reduced by 9% as compared to 2013 (7.48 million acre-feet versus 8.23 MAF). The study also indicates that releases will most likely be 7.48 MAF again in 2015. These back-to-back reductions could cause Lake Mead’s elevation to fall below the 1075 foot elevation by the end of 2015, which would result in the U.S. Secretary of the Interior declaring a Lower Basin shortage for 2016. This would be the first time the Secretary has officially announce a shortage in the Lower Basin.